Inverclyde Council has a statutory duty to capture and record how well it performs in relation to a wide range of performance information.

The Council's performance regarding the Society of Local Authority Chief Executives Local Government Benchmarking Framework (LGBF) Indicators 2017/18, as set out in Audit Scotland's Statutory Performance Indicators (SPI) Direction 2015 under SPI 2, is presented in this Appendix.

The LGBF indicators provide details of the Council's performance across a range of areas compared to the Scottish average, together with our ranking in relation to the other 31 Scottish local authorities. Further information on the LGBF Indicators is available here:

- LGBF and here:
- My Local Council - Invercive.

To find out more about the Council's performance, visit 'to Invercive Council's Performance.

	Page
Children's services	3
Corporate services	32
Adult social care	46
Culture and leisure services	57
Environmental services	68
Corporate assets	86
Economic development and planning	90

Children's services

		na	Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18		
	Education costs				
CHN 1	Cost per primary school pupil	•	green – improved		
		•	amber – performance		
CHN 2	Cost per secondary school pupil		maintained		
CHN 3	Cost per pre-school education place	•	red – declined		
	Educational attainment by secondary school pupils				
CHN 4	% of Pupils gaining 5+ Awards at Level 5	•	red – declined		
CHN 5	% of Pupils gaining 5+ Awards at Level 6	•	red – declined		
CHN 6	% of Pupils living in the 20% most deprived areas gaining 5+ Awards at Level 5	•	green – improved		

CHN 7	% of Pupils living in the 20% most deprived areas gaining 5+ Awards at Level 6	Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18 amber – performance maintained			
	Satisfaction with local schools				
CHN 10	% of Adults satisfied with local schools	with local schools red – dec			
	School leavers				
		details	s will be available in		
CHN 11	% of Pupils entering positive destinations		March 2019		
CHN 21	Participation rate for 16-19 year olds (per 100)	•	red – declined		
1	Total tariffs				
CHN 12a	Overall average total tariff	•	red – declined		
CHN 12b	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 1	•	red – declined		
CHN 12c	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 2	•	red – declined		

		Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18				
CHN 12d	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 3	•	green – improved			
CHN 12e	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 4	•	red – declined			
CHN 12f	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 5					
	Early years					
		details	s will be available in			
CHN 17	% of Children meeting developmental milestones		June 2019			
CHN 18	% of Funded early years provision which is graded good/better	•	red – declined			
	School attendance and exclusions					
CHN 19a	School attendance rates (per 100 pupils)					
		details will be available March 2019				
CHN 19b	School attendance rates (per 100 looked after children)					
CHN 20a	School exclusion rates (per 1,000 pupils)	details will be available in				

		Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18
		June 2019
CHN 20b	School exclusion rates (per 1,000 looked after children)	
CHN 8a	Vulnerable children Gross cost of children looked after in residential-based services per child per week	
CHN 8b	Gross cost of children looked after in a community setting per child per week	details will be available in
CHN 9	Balance of care for looked after children - % of children being looked after in the community	March 2019
CHN 22	% of Child protection re-registrations within 18 months	
CHN 23	% of Looked after children with more than one placement in the last year (August-July)	

Children's services: 27 indicators

1st quartile 2nd quartile 5

3rd quartile
4

4th quartile 1

There are several indicators regarding education costs that should be considered together:

CHN 1 Cost per primary school pupil
 CHN 2 Cost per secondary school pupil
 CHN 3 Cost per pre-school education place

CHN 1: Cost per primary school pupil								
Inverciyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	
5,005.00	15th	4,974.00	2nd	↑ 6 places from 21st	5,139.00	4,790.00	4,676.00	

CHN 2: Cost per secondary school pupil									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
6,912.00	16th	6,879.00	2nd	↔ no change	6,977.00	7,049.00	7,040.00		

CHN 3: Cost per pre-school education place									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
6,874.00	32nd	4,463.00	4th	↓ 2 places from 30th	5,465.00	5,532.00	5,110.00		

What the data tells us:

The data shows that our costs per primary school pupil decreased by £134.00 in 2017/18 which resulted in our ranking improving by six places to 15th. This meant our position in the national rankings changed from the third quartile to the second one. The range for this indicator is £4,372.00-£8,749.00 (Falkirk and Eilean Siar respectively).

There was also a very small decrease (of £65.00) in the costs per secondary school pupil, putting us slightly higher than the Scottish average (by £33.00). In 2017/18, our ranking for this measure was unchanged (at 16th). The range for this indicator is £5,910-£11,559.00 (Renfrewshire and Orkney Islands respectively).

Our costs per pre-school place rose in 2017/18 by £1,409.00 and our ranking decreased by two places to 32nd. This means our pre-school costs are the most expensive of any local authority in Scotland. The range for this indicator is £2,469.00-£6,874.00 (Moray and Invercive respectively).

Contextual information:

In 2011/12, the Council reclassified the costs relating to additional support needs (ASN) staff. All ASN support staff costs were centralised under ASN schools when the structure of Education changed; prior to this, the costs were recorded against primary and secondary schools. Following reclassification, costs per primary school and secondary school fell, whilst there was a corresponding increase in ASN costs of 27%. School amalgamations have also taken place, which would also have an impact on the costs per pupil. The Council has completed the renewal and refurbishment of the entire secondary and ASN estate with the primary school refurbishment programme due to be completed by 2020.

Costs per pre-school registration place can change each year depending on the uptake of pre-school education, while the staff costs remain relatively fixed. The following table shows how the expenditure costs and uptake of places has changed between 2010/11 and 2016/17:

Year	Expenditure	Places	Cost per place		
004044	00.000.000	4.000	05.000		
2010/11	£6,963,000	1,390 places	£5,009		
2011/12	£6,084,000	1,450 places	£4,196		
2012/13	£6,276,000	1,268 places	£4,949		
2013/14	£6,384,000	1,412 places	£4,521		

2014/15	£7,000,000	1,432 places	£4,888
2015/16	£7,594,000	1,560 places	£4,868
2016/17	£7,569,000	X places	£X
2017/18	£X	X places	£X

Best Value is continually being monitored; for example, the Council has changed some 52-week establishments to term-time establishments to maintain cost effectiveness. The costs relating to ASN are recorded against the Early Years budget which is different from Primary and Secondary budgets. Additionally, posts such as Family Support Workers and Bus Escorts are recorded against the Early Years budget. It should also be noted that, in Inverclyde, Early Years Education and Childcare Officers are paid at a higher rate than neighbouring local authorities.

Inverclyde Council continues to monitor take up of places in establishments to maintain cost effectiveness. Children are admitted at different times throughout the year, as per legislation. Staffing was adjusted in 2016 to more closely reflect this pattern. The Council has a high level of provision for children aged 0-2 and 2-3 years; staffing ratios for this age group are significantly different from those for 3-5 year olds. Not all local authorities have pre-3 services. The costs will be higher for Councils that have 0-2 and 2-3 years services at a 1:3/1:5 ratio (as opposed to a 1:8 staff/child ratio in 3-5 years). Early Years also provide a range of services to complement mainstream provision; these include services for children with ASN, family support services and out of school provision.

Next steps:

Early Years continues to be a strategic priority for the Scottish Government. The Council is also planning ahead for the significant expansion of hours in August 2020. Policy direction is in investment/early intervention and in resource heavy areas such as flexibility and staffing.

There are a number of indicators regarding educational attainment by secondary school pupils that should be considered together:

CHN 4 % of Pupils gaining 5+ Awards at Level 5

CHN 5 % of Pupils gaining 5+ Awards at Level 6

CHN 6 % of Pupils living in the 20% most deprived areas gaining 5+ Awards at Level 5

CHN 7 % of Pupils living in the 20% most deprived areas gaining 5+ Awards at Level 6

CHN 4: % of Pupils gaining 5+ Awards at Level 5										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
62	17th	62	3rd	↓ 3 places from 14th	61	57	55			

CHN 5: % of Pupils gaining 5+ Awards at Level 6									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
32	17th	34	3rd	↓ one place from 18th	32	30	27		

CHN 6: % of Pup	CHN 6: % of Pupils living in the 20% most deprived areas gaining 5+ Awards at Level 5										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
46	8th	42	1st	↑ 8 places from 16th	41	41	41				

CHN 7: % of Pupils living in the 20% most deprived areas gaining 5+ Awards at Level 6

Inverciyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
17	12th	16	2nd	↔ no change	15	16	13

What the data tells us:

In 2017/18, we saw an increase (of 1%) in the number of pupils who gained 5+ Awards at Level 5. Despite this improvement, our position in the national rankings declined by three places to 17th, which takes us from quartile two to quartile three. The range for this measure is 48%-87% (Dundee City and East Renfrewshire respectively).

The number of Inverclyde pupils who gained 5+ Awards at Level 6 was 32% in both 2016/17 and 2017/18. Although our ranking for this measure declined by one place to 17th, we retained our position in the third quartile. The range for this indicator is 24%-63% (Clackmannanshire/Dundee City and East Renfrewshire respectively).

The number of pupils from deprived areas who gained 5+ Awards at Level 5 had remained at 41% for three consecutive years. However, in 2017/18, we saw a significant increase (of 5%); this means we are now 4% above the national average for this measure. This improved performance resulted in an improvement of eight places (to eighth) in the national rankings which resulted in us moving from the second quartile to the first one. The range for the first indicator is 29%-69% (Aberdeen City and East Renfrewshire respectively).

We also saw an improvement (of 2%) in the number of pupils from deprived areas who gained 5+ Awards at Level 6, which means we are now 1% above the national average. Our position in the national rankings was unchanged (at 12th) and we retained our position in quartile two. The range for this indicator is 9%-37% (Aberdeen City/Clackmannanshire/Highland and East Renfrewshire respectively).

Contextual information:

The attainment of our young people is a fundamental, ongoing priority for Invercive Council. Below the high level indicators, there are additional priority areas for our local attention in attainment (i.e. attainment of looked after young people). Differentiations exist year-on-year with such measures as cohorts differ in ability levels. Overall trends during 2013/17 demonstrate sustained improvement; however, that trend has not been maintained in areas of deprivation. Detailed local analysis at school/stage level has identified areas and subjects where additional support is required to build on the previous results at Standard Grade. Performance in this area is both monitored and benchmarked.

It should be noted that for these measures – and indeed every educational attainment measure - the Council outperforms its 'virtual comparators'. Our virtual comparators comprise pupils from schools in other local authorities who have similar characteristics to the pupils in Invercive schools.

The virtual comparator is a measure where, for every one pupil in our statistics, information is gathered relating to 10 similarly attaining students from across Scotland. For example, a school subject taken by 35 students would be compared to 350 pupils of similar ability. Therefore, to outperform our virtual comparators is a good measure of how well the Council is performing against a much larger group of students. Further, the process allows us to see how our pupils' performance compares to a similar group of pupils from across the country; it also helps us undertake self-evaluation and improvement activities.

Inverclyde consistently performs well in terms of educational attainment, given the socio-economic context of the area. We have a high percentage of children living in Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) areas, however, Inverclyde continues to perform well in comparison to other local authorities.

Allocation of support staff in schools is now done on the basis of a weighted, multi-variable analysis, to ensure that, across a number of relevant factors, support is placed where there is greatest need. The SIMD is a significantly weighted factor in this exercise.

SIMD analysis is now interrogated via the Council's Insight ICT system, alongside SIMD profiling of school populations.

School tracking procedures allow schools and local authorities to analyse performance at regular intervals and by SIMD, gender and ASN/LAC etc.

Next steps:

Benchmarking takes place nationally and with our virtual comparators, using Insight.

Establish benchmarking and measures of attainment/achievement in the context of National Qualifications.

Significantly more analysis will be carried out at departmental and class level to enable targeted intervention, particularly of identified groups.

CHN 10 % of Adults satisfied with local schools

CHN 10: % of Ad	CHN 10: % of Adults satisfied with local schools								
Inverclyde 2015/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2013/16-2014/17	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15		
86.33	4th	72.33	1st	↓ 2 places from 2nd	89.33	87.33	86.33		

What the data tells us:

In terms of satisfaction with schools in Invercelyde, the data shows there was a decrease of 3% to 86.33% between 2014/17 and 2015/18. Despite a decline of two places in the national rankings, we retained our position in the first quartile. We are also 14% above the Scottish average for satisfaction with local schools. The range for this indicator is 62.67%-91.33% (Dundee City/Glasgow City and Orkney Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

It is important to capture some element of the quality of children's services in terms of service users' opinions. Currently, the only data source which is comparable across all Scottish local authorities is the Scottish Household Survey.

Inverclyde Council has a £270 million schools programme which is delivering new and refurbished schools across the entire school estate. Our schools have received praise at a national and international level.

Parents also make judgements on school satisfaction based on attainment, achievements and perception of the quality of school provision (Education Scotland reports).

Next steps:

As part of a £270 million investment in the School Estate, the Council has completed the renewal and refurbishment of the entire secondary and ASN estate with the primary school refurbishment programme nearing completion. Proposals for the acceleration of the remaining primary school projects and works across the early years' estate were agreed as part of the Council's 2016 budget-setting process to allow completion of the programme by 2020; this will result in the schools programme being completed five years earlier than originally anticipated. The ongoing programme of works, combined with the closure of a significant number of poor quality buildings, has resulted in a significant improvement in the condition, suitability and sufficiency of the school estate.

There are several indicators regarding school leavers that should be considered together:

CHN 11: % of Pupils entering positive destinations **CHN 21:** Participation rate for 16-19 year olds (per 100)

CHN 11: % of Pu	CHN 11: % of Pupils entering positive destinations										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
	details will be available in March 2019					94.3	94.6				

CHN 21: Participa	CHN 21: Participation rate for 16-19 year olds (per 100)											
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2013/14					
91.6	20th	91.8	3rd	↓ 5 places from 15th	91.9	91.2	new indicator for 2015/16					

What the data tells us:

The positive destination details for the last reporting year will be available in March 2019.

Between 2016/17 and 2017/18, the participation rate decreased very slightly (by 0.3%). Although our 2017/18 figure for this measure is only marginally below the national average (by 0.2%), we dropped five places in the national rankings which meant we moved from the second quartile to the third one. The range for this indicator is 88.7%-97.6% (Dundee City and Eilean Siar respectively).

Contextual information:

The Annual Participation Measure reports on the activity of the wider 16-19 year old cohort, including those at school, and will help to inform policy, planning and service delivery. The Annual Measure takes account of all statuses for individuals over the course of the year, rather than focusing on an individual's status on a single day.

The aim is to increase the participating figure, reduce the non-participating figure and reduce the number of 16-19 year olds whose status is unconfirmed. Inverclyde's performance in comparison to the national figures is:

	Inver	clyde	(Scotland
	Year	% of 16-19 year olds	Year	% of 16-19 year olds
Participating in education, training or employment	2016	91.2	2016	90.4
	2017	91.9	2017	91.1
Non-participating	2016	4.7	2016	4
	2017	3.9	2017	3.7
	2018	4.2	2018	3.4
Unconfirmed status	2016	4.1	2016	5.6
	2017	4.1	2017	5.3

Inver	clyde	5	Scotland
Year	% of 16-19 year olds	Year	% of 16-19 year olds
2018	4.2	2018	4.7

It should be noted that, in 2018, the main issue is around the 18 and 19 year old age groups:

- a reduction of 0.2% in the number of 18 year olds participating
- a reduction of 1.2% in the number of 19 year olds participating
- an increase of 1.6% in the number of 19 year olds not participating
- an increase of 0.7% in the number of 18 year olds reporting as unconfirmed.

Next steps:

Additional information on the Annual Participation Measure 2018 figures is available from a report submitted to the meeting of the Inverclyde Alliance Board on 1 October 2018: <u>Inverclyde Alliance Board - meeting on 1 October 2018</u> (agenda item 16).

There are a number of indicators regarding total tariffs that should be considered together:

Overall average total tariff
Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 1
Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 2
Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 3
Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 4
Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 5

CHN 12a	Overall average	Overall average total tariff										
Invercly 2017/1		g Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15					
882	18th	891	3rd	↓ 9 places from 9th	924	889	844					

CHN 12b	Avera	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 1											
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15					
632		13th	618	2nd	↓ 6 places from 7th	675	682	624					

CHN 12c	Avera	verage total tariff – SIMD Quintile 2										
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
765		16th	750	2nd	↓ 12 places from 4th	925	839	813				

CHN 12d	Average	total tariff -	SIMD Quintile 3					
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
1,086	,	3rd	896	1st	↑ one place from 4th	1,106	923	920

CHN 12e	Averaç	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 4										
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
1,135	5	5th	1,016	1st	↓ one place from 4th	1,215	1,141	1,080				

CHN 12f	2f Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 5											
Invercly 2017/1	•	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15					
1,288	4th	1,221	1st	↑ 6 places from 10th	1,231	1,348	1,232					

What the data tells us:

In 2017/18, our performance for one of the total tariff score indicators improved, while the performance of the other five indicators declined. However, for five of the six measures in this section of the Framework, our performance is above the national average. Comparable details for 2017/18 these indicators are:

	Indicator	Inverclyde	Scottish average	Range and Councils			
CHN 12a	Overall average total tariff	882	891	686-1,388	Dundee City and East Renfrewshire respectively		
CHN 12b	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 1	632	618	446-972	Aberdeen City and East Renfrewshire respectively		
CHN 12c	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 2	765	750	591-1,139	Aberdeen City and East Renfrewshire respectively		
CHN 12d	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 3	1,086	896	673-1,324	Moray and East Renfrewshire respectively		
CHN 12e	Average total tariff – SIMD Quintile 4	1,135	1,016	861-1,369	Eilean Siar and East Dunbartonshire respectively		

	Average total tariff – SIMD	1,288	1,221	314-1,527	Shetland	Islands	and	East
CHN 12f	Quintile 5				Renfrewshi	re respective	ely.	

Contextual information:

This suite of measures outlines the average total tariff scores for pupils in the senior phase (S6 based on the S4 cohort), including the average total tariff score by SIMD Quintile. An outcome consistently included at both the national and local level across the UK is the desire to increase the educational attainment of children from deprived backgrounds.

Next steps:

These measures are key to closing the attainment gap. Inverclyde's results are very strong in terms of the relative attainment of our pupils when they are compared to young people across the country who live in similar areas. However, the Insight analysis used for national and local benchmarking routinely shows that young people's attainment (their average tariffs scores) are lower in more deprived areas. The less deprived a young person is, the higher their attainment is likely to be. This is something that we hope to address as we seek to close the attainment gap - raising attainment for all, but removing the expectation that pupils are less likely to achieve if they live in deprived areas.

In Inverciyde's educational establishments, implementation of the Attainment Challenge is looking to sustained improvement in literacy and numeracy. School improvement plans also aim to support ongoing improvements in outcomes for Additional Support Needs, *Looked after children* – particularly *looked after children* at home.

There are a number of indicators regarding early years that should be considered together:

CHN 17 % of Children meeting developmental milestones

CHN 18 % of Funded early years provision which is graded good/better

CHN 17	% of Child	ren meeting	developmental	milestones				
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
		details wil	l be available in	June 2019		55.2	70.7	71.56

CHN 18 %	CHN 18 % of Funded early years provision which is graded good/better										
Inverciyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
95.83	8th	91.03	1st	↓ 7 places from 1st	100	100	95.83				

What the data tells us:

The details for the last reporting year about children meeting their development milestones will be available in June 2019.

Following maximum performance for two consecutive years in terms of our funded early years provision which is graded good/better, our 2017/18 performance for this measure declined slightly to 95.83%. This means that, while we dropped seven places in the national rankings, we retained our position in the first quartile. The range for this indicator is 75.44%-100% (Moray and Stirling respectively).

Contextual information:

It is during our very earliest years that a large part of the pattern for our future adult life is set. The early years are therefore a key opportunity to assess and understand the progress being made in improving outcomes.

The Children and Young People (Scotland) Act 2014 introduced a commitment to the near doubling of entitlement to funded early learning and child care to 1,140 hours a year by 2020 for all three and four year olds and eligible two year olds. The aim is to provide a high quality experience for all children which complements other early years and educational activity to close the attainment gap, and recognise the value of those we entrust to give our children the best start in life.

Next steps:

The first measure is fundamental in pursuing the following aims:

- we want everyone to have the same outcomes and opportunities;
- we identify those at risk of not achieving those outcomes to take steps to prevent that risk materialising;
- we take effective action where the risk has materialised; and
- we work to help parents, families and communities to develop their own solutions, using accessible, high quality public services, as required.

There are a number of indicators regarding school attendance and exclusions that should be considered together:

CHN 19a School attendance rates (per 100 pupils)

CHN 19b School attendance rates (per 100 *looked after children*)

CHN 20a School exclusion rates (per 1,000 pupils)

CHN 20b School exclusion rates (per 1,000 *looked after children*)

CHN 19a	N 19a School attendance rates (per 100 pupils)										
Invercly 2017/1		anking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
	details will be available in March 2019 92.5 - 93										

CHN 19b	School	School attendance rates (per 100 looked after children)										
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
		details will	be available in I	March 2019		85.88	-	89.03				

CHN 20a	School e	School exclusion rates (per 1,000 pupils)									
Invercly 2017/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
		details wil	l be available in		17.26	-	19.7				

CHN 20b	Schoo	School exclusion rates (per 1,000 looked after children)									
Invercly 2016/1		Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
		details wil	l be available in	June 2019		55.05	-	148.33			

What the data tells us:

While these measures were introduced to the Framework for 2016/17, some historical information is also available. The details for the last reporting year will be available in March and June 2019 (attendance rates and exclusions respectively).

Contextual information:

Good attendance is key to ensuring that every child has the best start in life and has access to support and learning that responds to individual needs and potential. Absence from school, whatever the cause, disrupts learning. Additionally, the role of school attendance in the protection of children is key. Local authorities record information on pupils' attendance and absence from schools and the reasons for this. The details are then used to monitor pupil engagement and to ensure pupils' safety and well-being by following up on pupils who do not attend school.

Information about pupils' attendance and exclusions is also included in the Statutory and Key Performance Indicator reports which are submitted to the Policy and Resources Committee in November each year.

Next steps:

Pupil attendance at school is a priority for the Council and robust monitoring and recording systems are in place to maximise attendance in our educational establishments.

There are several indicators regarding vulnerable children that may be considered together:

CHN 8a	Gross cost of children looked after in residential-based ser	rvices per child per week
--------	--	---------------------------

CHN 8b Gross cost of *children looked after* in a community setting per child per week

CHN 9 Balance of care for *looked after children* - % of children being looked after in the community

CHN 22 % of Child protection re-registrations within 18 months

CHN 23 % of Looked after children with more than one placement in the last year (August-July)

CHN 8a	Gross cost of children looked after in residential-based services per child per week									
	Inverclyde Ranking Scotland Local Change in rank 2016/17 2015/16 2014/15 2017/18 quartile									
	details will be available in March 2019 3,082.00 3,200.00 3,242.00									

CHN 8b	b Gross cost of <i>children looked after</i> in a community setting per child per week per child per week									
Inverci 2017/	•	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
	details will be available in March 2019					152.05	164.91	123.92		

CHN 9	CHN 9 Balance of care for <i>looked after children</i> - % of children being looked after in the community									
Invercly 2017/1	•	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
	details w	rill be available in	87.61	85.02	87.08					

What the data tells us:

The 2017/18 details for these three measures will be available in March 2019.

Contextual information:

In terms of children's social work services, a major cost carried by the Council is the cost of caring for *looked after children* in a residential setting or in a fostering/family placement setting. *Looked after children* may also be cared for in the community. It is therefore suggested that the three indicators above may be looked at alongside each other.

Next steps:

CHN 22	% of Child protection re-registrations within 18 months									
Invercly 2017/	•	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
	details will be available in March 2019						1.75	8.47		

What the data tells us:

The details about child protection re-registrations (within 18 months) in 2017/18 will be available in March 2019.

Contextual information:

Re-registration data shows the number of children on Child Protection Registers (CPRs) who come back on to the Registers. Re-registration rates could suggest that the decision to initially remove them from a CPR was premature and that they are not actually safer. If re-registrations were to increase, it may be reasonable to question whether children were being taken off plans before necessary safeguards have been put in place. It should be noted that the 2016/17 figure represents a very small number of re-registrations and, as such, it is not something the Service is unduly concerned about or deems it appropriate to take additional action on.

Next steps:

The ability to assess immediate risk and anticipate risk in the future is aided by good quality information gathering, consideration of previous patterns as recorded in the child's chronology and the analysis of the impact and availability of support networks for a vulnerable family (via genograms/ecomaps) through periods of challenge and change. Throughout 2018, the Child Protection Committee's improvement action shall focus on improving assessment skills and creating a set of minimum standards for practice which will include supporting and training practitioners to become more confident in the use of standardised tools for information gathering, assessment and risk assessment with the aim of reducing uncertainty and ensuring that recommendations around reregistration are based on clear evidence.

CHN 23 % of *Looked after children* with more than one placement in the last year (August-July)

CHN 23	% of Looked after children with more than one placement in the last year (August-July)									
Inverci 2017/	-	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
	details will be available in March 2019						19.81	22.01		

What the data tells us:

The 2017/18 details about *looked after children* with more than one placement in the last year (August-July) will be available in March 2019.

Contextual information:

Councils strive to be the best corporate parents they can be for those children and young people whose needs are best served by being in care. Sound attachment is now well understood as a critical underpinning for a child's healthy growth and development. The need for a safe, stable place to live and for ongoing secure relationships must be central to the child's plan.

While no two cases will be the same, and each child must be placed in a situation that is appropriate to them, the need to secure and maintain attachments is an important factor to be considered in all care planning. Consideration of the most effective means of securing long-term stability for a child should include permanent foster and kinship care, or residential care as a positive option.

Evidence shows that effective and efficient decision-making as early as possible in a child's life produces the most cost-effective interventions.

Next steps:

Good Corporate Parents take responsibility for promoting the wellbeing of all care experienced children and young people by working collaboratively to reduce the barriers and inequalities experienced by looked after children throughout their care journey. Corporate Parenting was a focus area for the Inspection of Children's Services carried out by the Care Inspectorate.

The Citizens' Panel Summer 2017 questionnaire provided the opportunity to consult with local people on the Council's Corporate Parenting duties. The responses provided valuable messages that we can use to inform future communication and training around the needs of looked after children and young people and the effectiveness of Inverclyde's Corporate Parenting planning.

A key issue that looked after children and care leavers often tell us about is the lack of understanding and stigma attached to being in care; more than two thirds (68%) of respondents agreed with this. Fifty-nine per cent of Panel members said they were not aware of the Council's work to support looked after children and young people, confirming that reducing the stigma and barriers experienced by looked after children should continue as a priority for Corporate Parents; this is a priority area for the Proud2Care Group (representing *looked after children* at home, kinship, foster care and residential care) and was an agenda item on the first Champions' Board Meeting held in April 2018.

Focusing on how best we can safeguard and promote the welfare and wellbeing of looked after children and those leaving care, the majority of respondents (91%) rated the need for professionals to work together and the need to listen to children and young people (78%) as being very important. Inverclyde's proposed *Champions' Board* approach to Corporate Parenting will be a key driver in enabling looked after children and care leavers to work with Corporate Parents in shaping and delivering services. Additionally, the Child Protection Committee recognised the potential need to promote the concept of a citizen's role in accessing *early help* and this was taken forward as a priority in 2018.

Corporate services

		Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18		
CORP 1	Support services as a % of total gross expenditure	•	amber – performance	
			maintained	
CORP 3b	% of the highest paid 5% employees who are women	•	red – declined	
		•	amber – performance	
CORP 3c	The gender pay gap		maintained	
CORP 4	The cost per dwelling of collecting Council Tax	•	red – declined	
CORP 6a	The average number of working days per employee lost through sickness absence – teachers	•	red – declined	
CORP 6b	The average number of working days per employee lost through sickness absence – all other employees	•	green – improved	
CORP 7	% of Income due from Council Tax received by the end of the year	•	red – declined	

		green – improved
CORP 8	% of Invoices sampled that were paid within 30 days	

Corporate services: 8 indicators 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 3 4 4th quartile 4th quartile 2

CORP 1 Support services as a % of total gross expenditure

CORP 1: Support services as a % of total gross expenditure									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
3.17	3rd	4.45	1st	↔ no change	3.09	2.93	3.17		

What the data tells us:

The data shows that, for the second consecutive year, Invercive had the third lowest central support costs as a percentage of total gross expenditure. Although there was a marginal increase in our central support costs (of 0.08%) between 2016/17 and 2017/18, we are still 1.28% below the Scottish average for this measure. Additionally, as our ranking is unchanged at third, we remain in the first quartile. The range for this indicator is 2.2%-7.65% (Shetland Islands and Highland respectively).

Contextual information:

Central support costs are classed as overhead costs for services such as ICT, HR, Legal and Finance. An efficient organisation aims to keep overheads to a minimum. However, we have been working to clarify how the financial information is captured to provide a consistent approach and enable comparisons to be more meaningful. Benchmarking takes place in support areas such as CIPFA accountancy benchmarking and the Society of IT Managers.

Next steps:

We will continue to look for ways to improve efficiency in our support services as part of ongoing self-evaluation and continuous improvement with the aim of reducing overheads overall.

There are two equal opportunities indicators that should be considered together:

CORP 3b % of the highest paid 5% employees who are women

CORP 3c The gender pay gap

CORP 3b: % of the highest paid 5% employees who are women									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
53.92	15th	54.60	2nd	↓ 2 places from 13th	52.94	53.2	50.63		

CORP 3c: The gender pay gap										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
8.71	30th	3.93	4th	↔ no change	9.3	10.89	new indicator for 2015/16			

What the data tells us:

The data shows that the number of employees in the highest 5% of earners who are female increased slightly (by 0.98%) in 2017/18. Despite this, our ranking decreased by two places to 15th in Scotland. Additionally, the number of female employees at Inverclyde Council who are in the highest 5% of earners is marginally below the national average (by 0.68%). The range for this indicator is 26.56%-65.19% (Shetland Islands and Stirling respectively).

The second equal opportunities indicator was introduced by the Improvement Service in 2015/16 to provide a broader view of the gender pay balance across all employees in Councils, as well as a better representation of the progress Scottish local authorities are making in improving equality outcomes. Ultimately, this measure will replace indicator CORP 3b; in the meantime, however, during the transition period, the data for both measures is included in the Framework.

A gender pay gap continues to exist due to the gender make up of key occupational groups. In particular, lower paid jobs such as catering, cleaning and home care predominantly comprise part-time female groups. Councils who have outsourced these groups to external organisations are likely to record a far lower gender pay gap as a result. The key measure for the Council is that we pay equal pay for work of equal value and this is assured through the robust implementation of the Scottish Joint Council's Job Evaluation Scheme in partnership with the trade unions. In addition, independent equality impact assessments are carried out on our pay and grading structure to ensure it meets equality standards and is non-discriminatory. Key to reducing the gender pay gap will be achieving a more even gender split across some of the key employee groups mentioned above and continuing to ensure women are encouraged and developed into senior roles. The range for this indicator is -6.97%-13.7% (Glasgow City and Eilean Siar respectively).

Contextual information:

The Gender Pay Gap is based on a percentage of the Male Average Total Hourly Rate.

In 2017/18, there were 204 employees in the top 5% of earners at Inverclyde Council; of these, 110 were female.

The reason for the change in our gender pay gap figure between 2016/17 and 2017/18 is that, when the male/female employee ratio changes into higher/lower grades by gender, the male/female average hourly rate also changes which has a positive or negative impact on the gender pay gap. Additionally, in 2017/18, the average hourly rate for male employees changed from the previous year at £14.93 (an increase of £0.09) while the corresponding rate for female employees increased slightly more during the same period, rising from £13.46 to £13.63 (an increase of £0.17).

The Council has robust equality management procedures in place. In addition, recruitment and selection procedures are equality impact-assessed to ensure that equality standards are met. Recruitment and selection procedures are also subject to rigorous re-evaluation at regular intervals to ensure equality standards are maintained.

The gender split of Council employees is 74% female to 26% male. There is a disproportionate number of women working for the Council compared to the wider population of Inverclyde, which is 52% female and 48% male. There continues to be occupational segregation at the Council (as occurs across the country) with more women in primary teaching, caring posts, cleaning and catering posts.

In 2017, the Scottish gender pay gap was 16% while the United Kingdom figure remained at 18%. However, no target has been set for gender pay gaps. Organisations like Inverciyde Council are required to produce an annual Gender Pay Gap Report and explore any grade issues which emerge. These are often reasons for such issues including, for instance, cases when new employees from one gender are usually appointed to particular posts at the starting point of a grade; examples of such posts include catering and cleaning appointments.

Following approval by the Policy and Resources Committee on 21 March 2017, the Council published its Mainstreaming Report, Progress on Equality Outcomes and Equal Pay Report 2017; to view the information, visit $\frac{1}{2}$ Equality and diversity.

Next steps:

Further assessment will be undertaken on the split by gender of grades/salary, access to training opportunities and progression within the Council, to help to establish what is happening regarding occupational segregation and identify ways to tackle it.

There are two indicators regarding Council Tax that should be considered together:

CORP 4 The cost per dwelling of collecting Council Tax

CORP 7 % of Income due from Council Tax received by the end of the year

CORP 4: The cost	CORP 4: The cost per dwelling of collecting Council Tax										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
12.73	29th	7.35	4th	↓ places from 27th	12.84	12.66	12.32				

CORP 7: % of Inco	CORP 7: % of Income due from Council Tax received by the end of the year										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
95.52	24th	96	3rd	↓ one place from 23rd	95.32	95.12	94.8				

What the data tells us:

Cost per dwelling of collecting Council Tax: The cost per dwelling of collecting Council Tax reduced very slightly (by £0.11) in 2017/18. In terms of comparison with other Councils, as stated in previous years, this figure is not a true comparison as different local authorities include/exclude different factors which reduce their costs. It should also be noted that our cost per dwelling is £5.86 less than it was in 2010/11 when the Framework was introduced.

The costs for this indicator range from £2.78 in Fife to £27.02 in Eilean Siar. The cost is fairly reflective in terms of the level of resource required to collect Council Tax, particularly due to the demographics in the Inverclyde area combined with the high Benefit caseload.

% of income due from Council Tax received by the end of the year: The percentage of income from Council Tax received by the end of the year increased by 0.2% and was the highest ever achieved by the Council. Despite this improvement, our position in the national rankings dropped by one place. However, it should be noted that the range for this indicator is very small: 93.91% in Dundee City to 97.92% in Orkney Islands. This indicates that all Councils have a similar percentage for this measure, with only a 4.01% difference between the best and poorest performing local authorities.

Contextual information:

Cost per dwelling of collecting Council Tax: The cost of collection represents just 2.2% of the revenue collected and, as part of the 2018/19 budget, a post has been removed from the Team. Officers are monitoring the impact closely to ensure that this does not have a detrimental effect on revenue.

Officers do not believe it is practical to reduce costs further. The Finance Service is confident that the indicator in relation to Inverclyde is accurate and has shown real term reductions in costs over the last few years.

It remains difficult to see how some Councils can have significantly lower costs if they are calculating the rate on the same basis. Therefore, there requires to be more inspection of the detail behind each Council's calculation to ensure a like-for-like comparison is made.

This is an indicator which is reviewed annually by the Directors of Finance and the consistency of reporting costs has been a matter of concern for the Chief Financial Officer and has been raised, but not resolved, amongst his peers.

While there is no formal benchmarking, the Directors of Finance statutory performance indicators are looked at each year and the Finance Service continually looks at best practice and reviews what areas are being charged to this measure. This area is therefore under constant review.

% of income due from Council Tax received by the end of the year: This is an area that is constantly monitored and has been reported in the Corporate Directorate Improvement Plan 2016/19 progress reports. Whilst there is no formal benchmarking, the Chief Financial Officer receives monthly briefings on this

area of performance which has been benchmarked since 1993. Performance is regularly reviewed with the Council's debt management partner. A good practice guide issued by the Directors of Finance has been reviewed to identify areas of possible improvement. Previous detailed comparison with a number of Councils with higher overall collection shows that Inverclyde out-performs these local authorities on a Band-by-Band basis and that housing tenure/values are a key influence on this measure.

It should also be noted that some local authorities report Council Tax collection levels using a methodology which inflates collection levels by 1-2% due to the way water and sewerage monies are allocated. While this is a truer way of reporting, if Inverclyde Council was to report in this way, we would show a higher collection figure. The Council's Chief Financial Officer continues not to adopt this approach in order to be consistent with prior years.

Despite the continuing difficult economic climate, in-year Council Tax collections rose in 2017/18. This is testament to the hard work and commitment of the Council's revenue services and effective partnership working with the Council's debt management partner.

Performance is consistently under review and fresh initiatives implemented where it is identified that collection levels could be improved. Finally, the current economic climate continues to make the collection of Council Tax a difficult task.

Next steps:

The cost of collecting Council Tax is reviewed annually though Directors of Finance performance indicators. There is also ongoing monitoring to ensure efficiencies in processes are in place to drive costs down.

In terms of Council Tax collection rates, despite being fairly resource intensive, participation in the Water Direct Scheme with the Department of Work and Pensions will continue. This measure is monitored on a monthly basis. We will also continue to monitor and review performance and look for ways to maximise Council Tax income while keeping costs down.

CORP 6a The average number of working days per employee lost through sickness absence – Inverclyde Council teachers

CORP 6b The average number of working days per employee lost through sickness absence – all other employees

CORP 6a: The average number of working days per employee lost through sickness absence – teachers										
Inverciyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
5.18	9th	5.93	2nd	↓ 2 places from 7th	5.2	5.5	6.42			

CORP 6b: The aver	CORP 6b: The average number of working days per employee lost through sickness absence – all other employees										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
10.58	10th	11.41	2nd	↑ 5 places from 15th	10.86	9.48	11.11				

What the data tells us:

The data shows an improvement in the sickness absence rates for both teachers and for all other employees. We are also below the national average for both measures (by 0.75 days and 0.83 days respectively).

The number of days lost due to sickness absence for teachers decreased by 0.02 days between 2016/17 and 2017/18, making last year's figure the lowest for this measure since the LGBF was introduced in 2010/11. Despite this improvement, our national ranking reduced by two places (from seventh place to ninth)

which meant we moved from the first quartile to the second one. The range for this indicator is 4.2 days-9.12 days (East Ayrshire and Clackmannanshire respectively).

The number of days lost due to sickness for all other employees also decreased - by 0.28 days - resulting in an improvement of five places in the national rankings. The range for this indicator is 8.36 days-16.78 days (East Ayrshire and Clackmannanshire respectively).

Contextual information:

The Council is committed to reducing the absence rates. Employee costs form a large proportion of the Council's budget and it is recognised that high levels of absence represent a significant cost that we must reduce. A challenging absence rate of nine work days per full-time equivalent employee has been set and the Council will continue to work to improve absence rates.

Through robust absence management procedures, the Council endeavours to support employees and reduce the level of absence. Reasons for absence are analysed and, through working with colleagues in Council Services, targeted interventions are in place. Since 2013, a series of absence *frequently asked questions* sessions have been arranged to assist managers in dealing with absence cases more effectively.

The Council works closely with its occupational health provider to ensure that absent employees are given the necessary support to enable them to return to work as soon as possible. Musculoskeletal issues and mental health-related illness represent the largest percentage of absence at the Council. Strategies are in place to have employees with those issues fast-tracked to HR so that support can be provided as quickly as possible. We also have an on-line attendance management form which has made the escalation of absence cases to HR more efficient and easier for Council Services.

Council Services that have higher than average absence rates are targeted with HR support, as required. In addition, the Supporting Employee Attendance Policy is actively promoted in those Services.

Council Services have access to absence reports which allow them to monitor absence on a continuous basis, ensuring Services take ownership of absence. Directorates are also provided with quarterly absence information as part of their quarterly Workforce Information Activity Reports.

We have undertaken a targeted response to absence management where areas of concern have been identified; this has ensured attendance management has been brought to the top of the agenda in a variety of ways:

- real time information is available to managers via the fully automated HR/Payroll system;
- HR produce and distribute attendance information at regular intervals by section, establishment and employee;
- the Local Negotiating Committee for Teachers and head teachers' meetings are attended by HR where attendance is discussed;
- attendance is an established item at the Trades Union Liaison meetings and HR attends Directorate Management Team meetings on a regular basis to discuss this; and
- training on supporting attendance includes lunch time drop-in type meetings, where managers can meet an HR representative to discuss attendance issues.

As well as being an external statutory performance indicator, absence is an internal key performance indicator which is analysed quarterly and reported to the Policy and Resources Committee. Absence statistics are also submitted to Service Committees by all Council Services to allow scrutiny to be undertaken at a Service Committee level.

Next steps:

Maximising employee attendance is a key area of focus in the People and Organisational Development Strategy 2017/20 which was approved by the Policy and Resources Committee on 20 September 2016. To view the Strategy, visit: People and Organisational Development Strategy 2017/20 (agenda item 19). Additionally, our Managing Attendance Policy was reviewed last year to reflect legislative changes and best practice and the refreshed Supporting Employee Attendance Policy was approved by the same Committee on 20 June 2017; to view the document, visit: Supporting Employee Attendance Policy (agenda item 22).

Although guidelines are available to all Councils around how data is collected and analysed, we continue to seek information to ensure we are comparing like-for-like as some local authorities operate manual absence recording systems and others collect data electronically.

CORP 8 Payment of invoices: % of invoices sampled that were paid within 30 days

CORP 8: Payment	CORP 8: Payment of invoices: % of invoices sampled that were paid within 30 days										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
97.13	1st	93.19	1st	↑ 4 places from 5th	96.65	96.48	96.59				

What the data tells us:

The data shows that Inverclyde was the top performing authority for this measure during the last reporting year. Additionally, in 2017/18, the percentage of invoices that were paid within 30 days was the highest ever achieved by the Council. Our national ranking improved by four places and we retained our position in the first quartile. Our performance for this measure also comfortably exceeds the Scottish average (by 3.94%). The range for this indicator is 78.02%-97.13% (Scottish Borders and Inverclyde respectively).

Contextual information:

The Council is constantly looking to see where it can improve efficiency and this is an area where the Council has made significant efficiencies in the past. The Team has been reduced in size as the result of budget savings and indications are that, in 2018/19, performance has reduced, albeit our performance still places the Council in the top quartile.

Like all areas within Finance, officers are constantly looking to see where efficiency can be improved.

This information is reviewed annually through the Directors of Finance performance indicators. Performance is also monitored on a monthly basis and reported through the Corporate Directorate Improvement Plan 2016/19 progress reports.

Next steps:

Our focus is to maintain performance and look to see where we can improve payment times to our local suppliers to 20 days rather than the statutory 30 days. While this will not make a difference to this indicator, it will improve cash flow to local businesses.

Adult social care

		n	ge in position in the ational rankings 2016/17-2017/18
SW 1	Home care costs per hour for people aged 65 or over	•	red – declined
SW 2	New description: Self-directed support (Direct Payments and Managed Personalised Budgets) spend on adults 18+ as a % of total social work spend on adults 18+		green – improved
SW 3a	New description: % of People aged 65 and over with long-term care needs who receive personal care at home		green – improved
SW 4a	% of Adults receiving any care or support who rate it as excellent or good		green – improved
SW 4b	% of Adults supported at home who agree that their services and support had an impact in improving or maintaining their quality of life	•	red – declined
SW 5	Residential costs per week per resident for people aged 65 or over	•	green – improved

Adult social care:
6 indicators

2 2 2 1 4th quartile
4th quartile
1 1

SW 1 Home care costs per hour for people aged 65 or over

SW 1: Home care of	costs per hour fo	r people aged 65 o	r over				
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
2017/18			authority	2016/17-2017/18			
			quartile				
27.37	22nd	23.76	3rd	↓ 4 places	23.87	20.53	13.43
				from 18th			

What the data tells us:

The data shows that home care costs per hour for people aged 65 or over increased by £3.50 in 2017/18. The increase is due to pay awards, increments, reallocation of staff to home care and an increase in equal pay provision. The range for this indicator is £13.28-£46.76 (Clackmannanshire and Shetland Islands respectively).

The data used to report this indicator comes from the annual Social Care Survey. The home care element of the return is based on the number of scheduled home care hours at one week in March each year. Scheduled hours vary from the actual hours delivered for a number of operational reasons (such as cancelled visits). The annual return data is aggregated up for this indicator to show an indicative number of total hours of home care delivered for the year for each local authority area. This means that the data used to calculate the average hourly rate is likely to be inflated.

Contextual information:

Home care is delivered in the client's home (including sheltered housing) and may include personal care, domestic help, laundry services, shopping services, and care attendant schemes.

Home care is a priority area for the Council to enact a shift in the balance of care and the move to Reablement and meeting the intensive needs of the client base. We are routinely improving our recording and reporting of care at home so this improvement in data management and new system implementation accounts for the difference from previous reports, as well as the distinctions explained above between scheduled hours reporting and actual hours reporting.

Benchmarking continues to take place via the National Community Care Benchmarking Network and quarterly performance service reviews.

Next steps:

We will continue to monitor performance through quarterly performance service reviews. Improved recording and reporting of home care data is a priority area for the HSCP.

SW 2 Self-directed support (Direct Payments and Managed Personalised Budgets) spend on adults 18+ as a % of total social work spend on adults 18+

SW 2: Self-directe adults 18+	d support (Direct	Payments and Ma	anaged Personali	sed Budgets) spend	on adults 18+ as a	% of total social w	ork spend on
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
5.56	12th	6.74	2nd	↑ one place from 13th	4.86	4.63	1.04

What the data tells us:

The performance data shows self-directed support (SDS) spending on adults aged 18+ as a percentage of total social work spend on adults 18+ increased by 0.7% in 2017/18; our ranking subsequently changed from 13th to 12th. The range for this indicator is 1.09%-21.14% (Dundee City and Glasgow City respectively).

Contextual information:

SDS allows people who need support to choose how their support needs will be met. This is a priority area for the Council as The Social Care (SDS) (Scotland) Act 2013 requires local authorities to offer people four choices on how their assessed social care is delivered. Initially, there was a slow uptake in SDS in Inverclyde, however, the pace has steadily increased from 2015/16. The focus has been on the development of processes to ensure people have been made aware of the Options and that this is supported with fair and equitable access to services. Recording of SDS options has also improved and this is, in part, the reason for the reported increase in performance, as well as some improvement in take up of Options 1 and 2. Staff training has been completed to tie outcome-based assessments with the options for SDS. Robust resource allocations are being developed along with public information and briefing sessions for providers. Performance is monitored through quarterly performance service reviews and the SDS Implementation Group.

The total spend on social work for adults 18+ reduced in 2016/17 whereas the SDS spend remained almost in line with the 2015/16 level which resulted in the increase to 4.86%. Additionally, a change in how the LFR is presented since 2015/16 has resulted in grossed up charges being excluded from *Older People all other expenditure*; had this been included, the percentage figure would have been 0.3% less.

Next steps:

The next step is to further progress the implementation of the legislation. Work will progress the roll out of the new service user contract for Option 1 and the development of an individual service framework for Option 2. Systems will be developed to capture activity information to track service changes to ensure they form a baseline for developing commission planning.

SW 3a % of People aged 65 and over with long-term care needs who receive personal care at home

SW 3a: % of People	e aged 65 and ove	r with long-term car	e needs who rece	eive personal care at ho	ome		
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
2017/18			authority	2016/17-2017/18			
			quartile				
67.78	6th	61.72	1st	↑ 5 places	64.86	64.12	59.07
				from 11th			

What the data tells us:

The data shows that the percentage of people aged 65+ with intensive needs receiving care at home increased by 2.92% during 2017/18. Our national ranking therefore changed from 11th to 6th out of the 32 Scottish local authorities. The range for this indicator is 42.57%-73.68% (Fife and Shetland Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

This indicator measures the extent to which the Council is maintaining people with long-term care needs in the community. Home care is one of the most important services provided by local authorities to support people with community care needs to remain at home. There is significant evidence that this helps them remain more independent for longer.

Increasing the flexibility of the service is a key policy objective for both Central and Local Government, to ensure that people receive the type of assistance they need, when they need it. This measure demonstrates the Council's progress towards the policy goal of shifting the balance of care.

A change in the 2015/16 guidance for the collection of continuing care data may affect comparability with figures for previous years. The Scottish Government is examining options to resolve this matter which may result in an update to the data presented here.

This is another priority area for the Council, to enact a shift in the balance of care and the move to Reablement and meeting the intensive needs of the service user base. One concern highlighted in making comparisons with other Councils is that the national population-based vulnerable profile is set at age 75+. In Invercive, this population is relevant at a lower age.

As noted at indicator *SW1: Home care costs per hour for people aged 65 or over*, this data is based on a snapshot of the service during one week in March. Additionally, the variation between actual and planned hours, as well as changes in the way data is recorded, impacts on the accuracy of the information. It is very difficult to capture the level of ongoing activity in the home care service as the snapshot does not reflect the number of people entering and leaving the service.

The strategic approach to shifting the balance of care to ensure more people receive support in their own homes is impacted by demographic factors such as an increasing older and frailer population requiring support. The effectiveness of this is evidenced by the reduction in the number of people aged over 65 moving to live in a care home on a permanent basis. The actual numbers of service users receiving home care has remained fairly steady with a 6.5% increase from 2014 to 2017; this is in part due to the effectiveness of the Reablement service where at least a third of service users following Reablement do not require a support package in terms of ongoing service.

Performance is monitored through quarterly performance service reviews. Some benchmarking has been undertaken via the Scottish Community Care Benchmarking Network.

Next steps:

To continue monitoring through quarterly performance reviews and focus on the action plan measures, as noted above.

There are two social work satisfaction measures that should be considered together:

SW 4a % of Adults receiving any care or support who rate it as excellent or good

SW 4b % of Adults supported at home who agree that their services and support had an impact in improving or maintaining their quality of

life

SW 4a: % of Adults receiving any care or support who rate it as excellent or good								
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2015/16-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	
83.46	8th	80.18	1st	↑ one place from 9th	-	83.68	87.18	

SW 4b: % of Adults supported at home who agree that their services and support had an impact in improving or maintaining their quality of life											
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2015/16-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
76.56	25th	79.97	4th	↓ 21 places from 4th	-	88.39	85.96				

What the data tells us:

The data shows that the vast majority of adults (83.46%) who receive any care or support in Invercive rated it as excellent or good in 2017/18. This meant that our position in the national rankings improved by one place, taking us from the second quarter to the first one. We are also above the national average for this measure (by 3.28%). The range for this indicator is 71.35%-94.32% (Midlothian and Orkney Islands respectively).

Between 2015/16 and 2017/18, there was a drop in the number of adults supported at home who agree that their services and support had an impact in improving or maintaining their quality of life. This resulted in our position in the national rankings declining by 21 places to 25th, which places us in the fourth quartile. The range for this indicator is 70.65%-96.57% (Eilean Siar and Orkney Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

These indicators measure user satisfaction with social care services and the perceived impact this care has on the outcomes experienced. The data is taken from the Scottish Health and Care Experience Survey. The Survey – which can be completed online, by telephone or via a paper questionnaire which is returned by post – asks about people's experiences of accessing and using their GP Practice and Out of Hours Services; aspects of care and support provided by local authorities and other organisations; and caring responsibilities and related support.

Between 2015/16 and 2017/18, there was a drop of 24% in the number of local people who responded to the Survey; this may partially account for the decline in the performance of Indicator SW 4b. It is also worth noting that the change in performance of the Scotland-wide figures for this measure broadly reflects our performance i.e. between 2015/16 and 2017/18, the national figure fell from 84% to 79.97%, a drop of 4.03%.

It should also be noted that the results of feedback forms received by Care at Home services show a result of 99% for Indicator 4a and 100% for 4b.

Next steps:

We will continue to monitor satisfaction with HSCP services by analysis of feedback from service users and carers and of complaints and compliments.

SW 5 Residential costs per week per resident for people aged 65 or over

SW 5: Residential	SW 5: Residential costs per week per resident for people aged 65 or over										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
379.00	15th	386.00	2nd	↑ 3 places from 18th	385.00	370.00	332.00				

What the data tells us:

The data shows that our net cost of residential care for older adults (65+) per week decreased by £6.00 in 2017/18. The range for this indicator is £195.00-£1,349.00 (Dumfries and Galloway and Shetland Islands respectively).

When the 2014/15 figure for the above indicator was calculated, the number of places in residential care for older adults (65+) was higher than our internal records indicated. The Council liaised with the Improvement Service regarding this matter and it has been established that some residents have been double counted because they have more than one care type. The agreed number of people has now been revised accordingly. The impact of this amendment is that the average weekly cost per resident in 2014/15 would change from £316.52 to £351.87. The Improvement Service confirmed that the 2014/15 figure for this measure would be updated when the Framework was refreshed in March 2017. As this has not been actioned, the Council contacted the Improvement Service again and it has been agreed that the historical information will be updated when the Framework was refreshed in March 2018.

The 2016/17 figure for this measure is incorrect because the care homes figure used to calculate the indicator was wrong, together with the number of long stay residents aged 65+. The Council contacted the Improvement Service regarding this matter and it has been agreed that the 2016/17 figure will be updated when the Framework was refreshed in March 2018. The refreshed figure is expected to be in line with the Scottish average.

Contextual information:

This comes from, and is linked to, the other priority indicators in this set of adult social care measures which is to positively impact and *shift the balance of care* for this area of the population and to allow them to be cared for at home or in other community-based settings as opposed to permanent residential care settings. The fluctuations in the reported figure can be dependent on the number of placements Inverclyde has funded, as well as the balance between Social Work-funded placements and those that are funded through Free Personal Care (FPC).

Next steps:

Explore this further and conduct further in-depth analysis and benchmarking of the data. Examine the impact of the balance of funding between FPC and Social Work on these figures, benchmarking with partners.

Culture and leisure services

		Change in position in the		
		na	tional rankings	
		2016/17-2017/18		
		•	red – declined	
C&L 1	Cost per attendance at sport facilities			
			amber –	
			performance	
C&L 2	Cost per library visit		maintained	
		•	red – declined	
C&L 3	Cost of museums per visit			
		•	green – improved	
C&L 4	Cost of parks and open spaces per 1,000 population			
		•	green – improved	
C&L 5a	% of Adults satisfied with libraries			
		•	green – improved	
C&L 5b	% of Adults satisfied with parks and open spaces			
		•	red – declined	
C&L 5c	% of Adults satisfied with museums and galleries			

		•	amber –
C&L 5d	% of Adults satisfied with leisure facilities		performance
			maintained

Culture and leisure services: 8 indicators

1st quartile
2nd quartile
3rd quartile
4th quartile
3
1

There are two indicators that should be considered together regarding sport and leisure facilities:

C&L 1 Cost per attendance at sport facilitiesC&L 5d % of adults satisfied with leisure facilities

C&L 1: Cost per at	C&L 1: Cost per attendance at sport facilities									
Inverciyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
2.47	17th	2.71	3rd	↓ 10 places from 7th	1.85	2.02	1.60			

C&L 5d: % of adults satisfied with leisure facilities										
Inverciyde 2015/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2014/17-2015/18	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15			
87	3rd	72.67	1st	↔ no change	89.67	88	89.33			

What the data tells us:

There was a small increase (of £0.62) in the cost per attendance at sport facilities in 2017/18. We are now positioned 17th in Scotland for this measure, a decline of 10 places, which takes us into the third quartile. However, our costs are £0.24 below the Scottish average. The range for this indicator is £0.70-£4.75 (Shetland Islands and Glasgow City respectively).

Satisfaction data has been sourced from the Scottish Household Survey. The percentage of adults satisfied with leisure facilities is the third highest in Scotland for the fifth consecutive time period, despite dropping slightly (by 2.67%) between 2014/17 and 2015/18. This reflects the significant investment in facilities in Inverclyde. The range for this indicator is 41.67%-90.33% (Dumfries and Galloway and Shetland Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

The costs are largely set in consultation with Inverclyde Leisure and are therefore not solely in the Council's control.

Leisure services in Inverclyde are managed by Inverclyde Leisure on behalf of the Council. Leisure facilities have benefitted from significant investment which may have resulted in the high rates of satisfaction. In 2008, Inverclyde Council pledged £23 million over five years to deliver new and refurbished leisure facilities across Inverclyde which include a £6 million community stadium at Parklea in Port Glasgow and a £1.8 million refurbishment of Ravenscraig Stadium.

Next steps:

The service will continue to look for opportunities to provide better value for money and deliver efficiencies on an ongoing basis.

There are two indicators that should be considered together regarding libraries:

C&L 2 Cost per library visit

C&L 5a % of Adults satisfied with libraries

Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
3.10	18th	2.08	3rd	↔ no change	2.90	3.07	3.52

C&L 5a: % of Adult	C&L 5a: % of Adults satisfied with libraries									
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15			
2015/18			authority	2014/17-2015/18						
			quartile							
78.67	9th	73	2nd	↑ 4 places	79.33	80.67	81			
				from 13th						

What the data tells us:

The data shows that the cost per library visit rose slightly in 2017/18 (by £0.20). Despite this small increase, our position in the national rankings was unchanged at 18th. The range for this indicator is £0.76-£5.19 (South Ayrshire and East Ayrshire respectively).

Despite a very small drop (of 0.66%), satisfaction levels with local libraries remained high in 2015/18 (at 78.67%). Our ranking also improved from 13th place to ninth and we retained our position in the second quartile for this measure. It should be noted that Scottish Household Survey data includes all respondents and not just those who are library users. The range for this indicator is 52.33%-93.33% (Scottish Borders and Orkney Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

The Council's library staff work hard to encourage people to visit local libraries. We were therefore encouraged to see an improvement of almost 11,000 visitors between 2016/17 and 2017/18. During the last reporting year, we increased the number and range of events and activities on offer, for example, author visits, drop-in IT sessions, children's activities, and a well-attended event in the Central Library as part of the *Get it Loud in Libraries* programme. We also worked with Macmillan Cancer Support to assist with cancer information provision; offered *Chatty Café* sessions to help reduce social isolation; and hosted an orientation day for our New Syrian Scots to introduce them to library services. Additionally, we saw an increase in visits to libraries regarding Universal Credit as it now requires online access.

While the cost of running Inverciyde libraries compares well to all other authorities, a number of factors affect the total number of visits recorded:

- we have fewer libraries than the Scottish average;
- our libraries are smaller with shorter opening hours than the Scottish average;
- all our libraries except one are stand-alone (many other authorities have public libraries in schools, sports centres etc); and
- many areas of Inverclyde have comparatively low levels of literacy.

Additionally, visitor figures include *virtual visits*; however, as there is no standard definition of this, different authorities may be counting different things. We also conduct extensive outreach work in locations like family centres, nurseries and HMP Greenock, and this use of library services is difficult to capture and reflect as a *visit*.

Next steps:

Inverclyde Council's libraries service undertakes robust self-evaluation and has a service improvement plan in place. The service also undertakes benchmarking with similar-sized authorities across the central belt of Scotland and contributes to the Family Group benchmarking facilitated by the Improvement Service with the aim of further improving services.

To find out more about the wide range of services offered by Inverciyde libraries, visit $^{\circ}$ <u>Libraries</u>.

There are two indicators which should be considered together regarding museums:

C&L 3 Cost of museums per visit

C&L 5c % of Adults satisfied with museums and galleries

C&L 3: Cost of mu	seums per visit						
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
12.34	28th	3.49	4th	↓ 10 places from 18th	4.09	3.73	4.16

C&L 5c: % of adult	C&L 5c: % of adults satisfied with museums and galleries									
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15			
2015/18			authority	2014/17-2015/18						
			quartile							
72.67	10th	70	2nd	↓ 2 places	79.67	82	82.33			
				from 8th						

What the data tells us:

The data shows that the cost per visit to the Museum increased by more than two thirds (£8.25) in 2017/18. This resulted in our position in the national rankings dropping by 10 places to 28th, which took us from the third quartile to the fourth one during the last reporting year. The range for this indicator is £0.21-£43.06 (Argyll and Bute and Renfrewshire respectively).

The percentage of adults satisfied with museums and galleries fell by 7%. Our ranking subsequently declined from eighth place to tenth, which meant we moved from quartile one to quartile two for this measure. However, we are above the Scottish average in terms of satisfaction with museums and galleries (by 2.67%). The range for this indicator is 40.67%-90.33% (Scottish Borders and Orkney Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

As the McLean Museum and Art Gallery has been closed for refurbishment since December 2016, the figure used to calculate the Museum cost per visit is entirely based on *virtual* visits, for example, people looking at the museum pages on the Council website and the Museum's online collection database *Collections Online*. Although a temporary *pop up* library, archive and museum operated at the Inverclyde Heritage Hub from the Business Store, Cathcart Street, from August 2017-December 2018, the visits to this facility are captured within the Libraries cost per visit and not the Museum cost per visit figure.

It is therefore pleasing to note that, despite these closures, more than 70% of adults expressed satisfaction with the relevant facilities in Inverclyde.

The Museum provides a comprehensive service over a number of disciplines including fine art, local history and world cultures to local users and tourists, together with extensive on-line collections information. The high quality collections include items of national and international importance. The Museum is one of Scotland's largest out with the cities. Cities have a higher potential visiting population, so costs per visit for the McLean are relatively higher given the smaller local population which it serves directly. Additionally, Inverclyde is not yet a fully developed tourist destination so the potential number of tourists visiting the area remains low.

Next steps:

The Museum is currently closed for refurbishment and it is hoped that visitor figures will increase once it re-opens. In the meantime, a temporary museum and library facility opened was set up in the former Business Store building between Summer 2017 and December 2018. In addition, the McLean Museum's online catalogue, which contains almost 8,000 illustrated records, is available to view via this web link: McLean Museum Collections On-Line. The Museum has a service improvement plan in place and benchmarks its services against others in Scotland by contributing to the relevant Improvement Service Family Groups.

There are two indicators that should be considered together regarding parks and open spaces:

C&L 4 Cost of parks and open spaces per 1,000 population

C&L 5b % of Adults satisfied with parks and open spaces

C&L 4: Cost of page	arks and open s	spaces per 1,000	population				
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
23,464.00	23rd	19,814.00	3rd	↑ 8 places from 31st	33,494.00	32,505.00	41,557.00

C&L 5b: % of Ad	&L 5b: % of Adults satisfied with parks and open spaces									
Inverclyde 2015/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2014/17-2015/18	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15			
88.33	10th	85.67	2nd	↑ 5 places from 15th	87.67	85.33	84.33			

What the data tells us:

Between 2016/17 and 2017/18, there was a significant reduction (of £10,030) in the cost of parks and open spaces per 1,000 population. This resulted in an improved position in the national rankings, taking us from 31st position to 23rd, which means we move from the fourth quartile to the third one. However, our costs are £3,650 higher than the Scottish average. The range for this indicator is £891.00-£39,627.00 (Eilean Siar and West Dunbartonshire respectively).

Satisfaction data has been extracted from the Scottish Household Survey. There was a small increase (of 0.66%) regarding satisfaction with parks and open spaces in 2015/18. Our ranking subsequently improved by five places to 10th, which means we retain our position in the second quartile. The range for this indicator is 70.33%-93% (Eilean Siar and South Ayrshire respectively).

Contextual information:

Parks and open spaces is a priority improvement area for the Council, particularly the provision of refurbished play areas. Inverclyde has a declining population whilst the parks establishment remains static, which helps account for increasing costs.

Next steps:

Service improvement efficiencies will continue to be introduced to reduce costs.

Environmental services

			ge in position in the	
		national rankings 2016/17-2017/18		
ENV 1a	Net cost per waste collection per premise	•	red – declined	
		•	green – improved	
ENV 2a	Net cost of waste disposal per premise			
			amber –	
			performance	
ENV 3a	Net cost of street cleaning per 1,000 population		maintained	
		•	red – declined	
ENV 3c	Street Cleanliness Score			
ENV 4a	Cost of maintenance per kilometre of roads	•	red – declined	
		•	green – improved	
ENV 4b	% of A class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment			
		•	green – improved	
ENV 4c	% of B class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment			

			green – improved
ENV 4d	% of C class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment		
		•	green – improved
ENV 4e	% of Unclassified roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment		
			amber –
			performance
ENV 5	Cost of trading standards and environmental health per 1,000 population		maintained
ENV 5a	Cost of trading standards, money advice and citizen advice per 1,000	•	red – declined
ENV 5b	Cost of environmental health per 1,000 population		green – improved
			green – improved
ENV 6	% of Total household waste arising that is recycled		
			red – declined
ENV 7a	% of Adults satisfied with refuse collection		
		•	amber –
			performance
ENV 7b	% of Adults satisfied with street cleaning		maintained

Environmental services:	1st quartile	2nd quartile	3rd quartile	4th quartile
15 indicators				
	3	4	5	3

There are several indicators that can be considered together regarding waste management:

ENV 1a Net cost per waste collection per premise ENV 2a Net cost of waste disposal per premise

ENV 6 % of Total household waste arising that is recycled

ENV 7a % of Adults satisfied with refuse collection

ENV 1a: Net cost	ENV 1a: Net cost per waste collection per premise								
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
40.04	2nd	65.98	1st	↓ one place from 1st	35.57	41.64	39.80		

ENV 2a: Net cost of waste disposal per premise								
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	
90.54	12th	98.42	2nd	↑ 5 places from 17th	96.24	86.23	85.01	

ENV 6: % of Total household waste arising that is recycled								
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	
57.21	5th	45.6	1st	↑ 5 places from 10th	53.44	54.72	56.8	

ENV 7a: % of Adu	ENV 7a: % of Adults satisfied with refuse collection								
Inverclyde 2015/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2014/17-2015/18	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15		
90	3rd	78.67	1st	↓ one place from 2nd	91.33	93	91		

What the data tells us:

In 2017/18, our net cost of waste collection reduced by £4.47. Our ranking subsequently declined by one place to second, however, our costs are still considerably below the Scottish average (by £25.94). The range for this indicator is £38.63-£109.67 (West Dunbartonshire and Stirling respectively).

During the last reporting year, our net cost per waste disposal per premise also reduced (by £5.70); this resulted in our ranking improving by five places to 12th, which takes us from the third quartile to the second one. Our costs are also comfortably below the Scottish average (by £7.88). The range for this indicator is £70.81-£185.28 (East Ayrshire and Argyll and Bute respectively).

Our recycling performance increased by 3.77% to 57.21% in 2017/18, making last year's figure the highest for this measure since the LGBF was introduced in 2010/11. This improved performance resulted in an increase of five places to fifth in the national rankings, which means we move from quartile two to the first quartile for this measure. Our performance is also 11.61% above the Scottish average. The range for this indicator is 7.98%-67.15% (Shetland Islands and East Renfrewshire respectively). Reducing landfill tonnages and increasing recycling tonnages increases performance and also costs less as landfill is charged at a higher rate than other processing.

The data regarding satisfaction with refuse collection was sourced from the Scottish Household Survey. There was a very small decrease (of 1.33%) in the satisfaction rate with refuse collection in Inverclyde; despite this, we retained our position in quartile one in the national rankings. Additionally, our score is still very high at 90% which is 11.33% above the Scottish average. The range for this indicator is 63.33%-92% (Edinburgh City and Shetland Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

Inverclyde's waste costs are traditionally low compared to other local authorities. The cost of waste collection is determined by the types of services offered and the geographical spread of households (urban or rural). The population trend in Inverclyde is decreasing which impacts on the number of premises. Waste disposal costs on the other hand are centralised and not subject to the location and proximity of premises.

Following the introduction of the Council's Vehicle Tracking System, we carried out a route optimisation exercise which resulted in the reduction of two front-line collection vehicles: one refuse collection vehicle and one food waste vehicle.

The introduction of additional recycling services, for example, our food waste collection service to domestic and commercial premises, had the desired effect of reducing the amount of waste sent to landfill and, in conjunction with that, we experienced a decline in overall waste arisings.

The Council continues to promote its domestic recycling and waste reduction messages. For example, three years ago, we implemented a new segregated glass collection service from the kerbside with the aim of enhancing our performance.

Performance information in relation to waste management is regularly monitored. Trend analysis is carried out internally and reported through the Council's website. Investment in the redevelopment of our recycling centres is complete with our Pottery Street Recycling Centre benefiting from a £1 million refurbishment; the improved facilities at the Recycling Centre include a new access road for cars and vans and a one-way loop providing access to a series of designated recycling bays and bins.

Through intensive communication work and investment in the food waste service, along with the segregated glass collection service and the refurbished Pottery Street Recycling Centre, we enjoy very high levels of customer satisfaction with refuse collection, putting Inverclyde Council in the first quartile for this indicator. The satisfaction rates published by the Scottish Household Survey reflect positively on the service and will be influenced by high levels of service, good quality of communication, responsiveness to customers, helpful staff and consistent services

In partnership with the Improvement Service, Inverclyde Council is participating in a pilot benchmarking initiative on the subject of waste. The project aims to assess performance and deliver improvements across a number of Councils.

Next steps:

The service will re-structure routes and identify improvements in capacity, where possible. In 2015/16, we reviewed our existing residual and Materials Recycling Facility contracts with a view to identifying improvements in service delivery and opportunities to improve our recycling performance accordingly.

There are three indicators regarding street cleaning which should be considered together:

ENV 3a Net cost of street cleaning per 1,000 population

ENV 3c Street Cleanliness Score

ENV 7b % Adults satisfied with street cleaning

NV 3a: Net cost of street cleaning per 1,000 population									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
18,017.00	28th	15,551.00	4th	↔ no change	17,803.00	17,045.00	19,418.00		

ENV 3c: Street Cl	ENV 3c: Street Cleanliness Score									
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
2017/18			authority	2016/17-2017/18						
			quartile							
87.1	29th	92.2	4th	↓ 10 places	94.31	94.4	93.66			
				from 19th						

ENV 7b: % of Ad	NV 7b: % of Adults satisfied with street cleaning									
Inverclyde 2015/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2014/17-2015/18	2014/17	2013/16	2012/15			
73.33	13th	69.67	2nd	↔ no change	75.67	78.67	78.67			

What the data tells us:

Between 2016/17 and 2017/18, the cost of street cleaning increased slightly (by £214); despite this, our position in the national rankings was unchanged at 28th which puts us in the fourth quartile. Our street cleaning costs are also £2,466.00 higher than the national average. The range for this indicator is £4,915.00-£36,496.00 (Highland and Glasgow City respectively).

Following a consistently high performance during the previous three reporting years, our overall cleanliness index score fell by 7.21 in 2017/18; this resulted in our ranking dropping by 10 places to 29th. While this means we are now in the fourth quartile for this measure, the impact of significant investment in this area would not effect a major change in performance for Inverclyde. The range for this measure is 85.78-98.21 (Aberdeen City and Orkney Islands respectively).

In 2015/18, Inverclyde's performance for the indicator which measures satisfaction with street cleaning dropped slightly (by 2.34%). However, our score is 3.66% higher than the national average. The range for this indicator is 59.33%-82.67% (Glasgow City and East Lothian respectively).

Contextual information:

Inverclyde's population is declining whilst streets establishment is static or, in some instances, increasing. The efficiencies and operational measures introduced to date have already improved the street cleaning service's performance and these will continue to be developed with the expectation that further improvements will be achieved in future years.

In partnership with the Improvement Service, Inverclyde Council is participating in a benchmarking initiative on the subject of street cleaning. The project aims to assess performance and deliver improvements across a number of Councils.

Next steps:

Benchmarking already takes place through the Local Environmental Audit and Management System and service efficiencies are being introduced to further reduce costs.

There are several indicators regarding roads maintenance which should be considered together:

ENV 4a Cost of maintenance per kilometre of roads

ENV 4b % of A class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment

ENV 4c % of B class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment

ENV 4d % of C class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment

ENV 4e % of unclassified class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment

ENV 4a: Cost of I	maintenance pe	r kilometre of ro	ads				
Inverciyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
26,571.00	31st	10,547.00	4th	↓ one place from 30th	26,053.00	21,868.00	19,960.00

ENV 4b: % of A cl	ENV 4b: % of A class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment								
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2015/17	2014/16	2013/15		
2016/18			authority	2015/17-2016/18					
			quartile						
24.1	12th	30.16	2nd	↑ 12 places	29.63	31.17	33.89		
				from 24th					

ENV 4c: % of B cl	ENV 4c: % of B class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment									
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2015/17	2014/16	2013/15			
2016/18			authority	2015/17-2016/18						
			quartile							
36.13	23rd	35.90	3rd	↑ 2 places	37.58	36.21	37.99			
				from 25th						

ENV 4d: % of C c	ENV 4d: % of C class roads that should be considered for maintenance treatment								
Inverciyde 2016/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2015/17-2016/18	2015/17	2014/16	2013/15		
39.61	21st	36.16	3rd	↑ 7 places from 28th	43.42	44.32	46.93		

ENV 4e: % of und	classified roads	that should be c	onsidered for r	maintenance treatme	ent		
Inverclyde 2014/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2013/17-2014/18	2013/17	2012/16	2011/15
38.91	20th	38.99	3rd	↑ one place from 21st	41.17	44.5	47.94

What the data tells us:

During the last reporting year, our cost per kilometre of road maintenance rose slightly (by £518.00); this resulted in our position in the national rankings declining by one place to 31st. Our costs are also £16,024.00 more than the Scottish average. The range for this indicator is £4,676.00-£29,996.00 (Dumfries and Galloway and Aberdeen City respectively).

The primary reason for our high costs is the substantial investment the Council is putting into our roads to bring them back to a steady state condition. Without this, our long term investment requirements would be even greater. Historically, the local area had a high percentage of roads, footways and street lighting which required maintenance treatment. In 2012, Invercive Council invested £29 million in a five year improvement programme which included road and pavement resurfacing works, an extensive road patching and pothole repairs programme, street lighting replacement works and improvements to bridges. In the last six years, we treated and upgraded 220 km of roads and pavements which has resulted in a reduction in the number of Invercive's roads which require maintenance treatment.

The Improvement Service advise that they are working with SCOTS/APSE to replace this measure with their data, adding that they wish to carry out further work to provide robust time series figures before including them in the Framework. In the meantime, the Improvement Service has worked with the Directors of Finance Sub-Group to amend the current measure to include capital and revenue and provide a more meaningful measure of expenditure on roads.

As seen in the following table, there has been a reduction in the percentage of all classes of Inverclyde's roads which require maintenance treatment, as well as an improved performance in terms of our position in the national rankings:

	Roads requiring maintenance treatment	Change in national ranking
A class roads	↓ 5.53%	↑ 12 places to 12th

B class roads	↓ 1.45%	↑ 2 places to 23rd
C class roads	↓ 3.81%	↑ 7 places to 21st
Unclassified roads	↓ 2.26%	↑ 1 place to 20th.

The increased performance of the roads maintenance indicators reflects the investment made via our Roads Asset Management Plan. These improvements are particularly pleasing given that, as the roads condition indicators are averaged over a two year rolling period (with four years for unclassified roads), it can take time for the effect of investment to feed into the indicators. Taking this into account, the enhanced performance of these measures is therefore a considerable achievement for the Council.

Contextual information:

While there is a relationship between costs and performance, other factors are subject to constraints out with the direct control of the Council; for example, Winter maintenance costs. The inclusion of these costs will skew the data according to the severity of the Winter in question; the costs are also skewed in terms of a comparison to other Councils, for example, by the geographical location of each Council in Scotland. Additionally, the defects in the road surface caused by severe Winter weather may not appear immediately and this can have an effect on subsequent years.

Data relating to roads maintenance treatment is considered robust as it is calculated from machine-based surveys; the vehicles are calibrated to meet a defined specification and all 32 Councils' surveys are carried out by the same contractor. Investment levels and costs of maintenance treatments impact on overall roads condition and deterioration rates vary depending on various factors, for example, weather conditions, traffic flows and age profile.

Roads maintenance is a priority for the Council with investment targeted in 2012/13 and further significant three year investment which commenced in 2013/14. The Council prepared and implemented an Asset Investment Strategy and allocated £17 million over three years as

the first phase in dealing with the maintenance backlog on the four main asset groups (carriageways, footways, lighting and structures); a strategy and works programme is also being delivered. The Council always seeks to ensure that expenditure is made on a Best Value basis in line with specified service requirements.

In 2016, Inverclyde Council was named the most improved performer in Roads, Highways and Winter Maintenance at the Association for Public Service Excellence (APSE) Performance Networks Awards 2016. The APSE Awards highlight the best and most improved local authorities in front line service delivery and recognise Councils that have taken part in sharing data to ensure they are delivering good local services using performance information on cost, quality and benchmarking.

Next steps:

Benchmarking takes place via the Society of Chief Officers of Transportation in Scotland Group and APSE.

The following trading standards and environmental health indicators should be considered together:

ENV 5 Cost of trading standards and environmental health per 1,000 population Cost of trading standards, money advice and citizen advice per 1,000

ENV 5b Cost of environmental health per 1,000 population

ENV 5: Cost of to	ading standard	s and environme	ental health per	1,000 population			
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
23,095.00	24th	21,385.00	3rd	↔ no change	23,981.00	21,264.00	22,455.00

ENV 5a: Cost of t	ENV 5a: Cost of trading standards, money advice and citizen advice per 1,000									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
3,974.00	10th	5,890.00	2nd	↓ 4 places from 6th	3,051.00	2,909.00	3,195.00			

Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
19,121.00	24th	15,496.00	3rd	↑ 2 places from 26th	20,931.00	18,355.00	19,260.00

What the data tells us:

Our trading standards and environmental health costs fell slightly (by £886.00) between 2016/17 and 2017/18. Despite this improvement, our position in the national rankings was unchanged at 24th. The range for this indicator is £8,511.00-£52,990 (Renfrewshire and Shetland Islands respectively).

The data shows that the cost of trading standards, money advice and citizen advice in Inverclyde increased by £923.00, with our ranking subsequently dropping by four places from sixth; this means we are now positioned in the second quartile. The range for this indicator is £1,316.00-£17,548.00 (East Lothian and Shetland Islands respectively).

There was a significant reduction (of £1,810) in our environmental health costs in 2017/18. This resulted in an improvement of two places in the national rankings, which means we move from the fourth quartile to the third one for this measure. However, our environmental health costs are also £3,625.00 higher than the national average. The range for this indicator is £6,849.00-£35,442.00 (East Renfrewshire and Shetland Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

Trading standards: The figure is based on the service's estimates of costs for 2016/17 as agreed with Finance Services. These costs include management allocations. Inverclyde's costs for trading standards, money advice and citizen advice are very low, reflecting the relatively small staff complement. We are however working to ensure that the service punches well above its weight by joint working initiatives with community safety and the anti-social behaviour/wardens' teams to maximise impact.

Environmental health: The Environment and Public Protection Service comprises a number of services in addition to environmental health which are currently reported through the Environment Local Financial Return (LFR). These services include community safety, public space CCTV, landlord registration and general administration for the Service. The current environmental health LFR submission includes some of those services in addition to what would properly be described as 'environmental health'. Unfortunately, there is still no natural home for these in the LFR scheme.

It should be noted that both the environmental health and trading standards services are delivered by teams with significant other responsibilities, for example, parking enforcement and strategic housing. As such, it is quite difficult to dissociate all of the costs for each service entirely from other areas. The LFRs for each also include areas which are not under the Safer and Inclusive Communities Service's management, for example, money advice and public conveniences.

Since 2012/13, we have engaged in benchmarking with the Association for Public Service Excellence for environmental health. This involved initially reaching agreement on what services we would properly categorise as 'environmental health'. In 2016/17, Inverclyde's cost per 1,000 population for environmental health under the benchmarking exercise was £10,870 which placed us in the third guartile.

Next steps:

The benchmarking process for environmental health indicators will continue.

Corporate assets

		Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18		
CORP-ASSET 1	% of Operational buildings that are suitable for their current use	•	green – improved	
CORP-ASSET 2	% of Internal floor area of operational buildings in satisfactory condition		red – declined	

Corporate assets: 2 indicators

1st quartile 1 2nd quartile 1 3rd quartile

4th quartile

There are two corporate asset indicators that should be considered together:

CORP-ASSET 1 % of Operational buildings that are suitable for their current use

CORP-ASSET 2 % of Internal floor area of operational buildings in satisfactory condition

ORP-ASSET 1: % of Operational buildings that are suitable for their current use									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
92.37	6th	80.96	1st	↑ 3 places from 9th	90.23	90	88.72		

ORP-ASSET 2:	% of Internal flo	oor area of opera	tional buildings	s in satisfactory con	dition		
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
91.41	14th	86.31	2nd	↓ 2 places from 12th	91.13	89.82	85.2

What the data tells us:

The performance data shows that there has been a year-on-year improvement in both the proportion of operational buildings that are suitable for their current use and the proportion of the internal floor area of operational buildings that are in a satisfactory condition. We are also well

above the Scottish average for the two corporate asset indicators. Additionally, during the last reporting year, we moved from quartile two to quartile one for the first measure, while, for the second indicator, we dropped two places to 14th.

The range for the first indicator is 66.06%-96.47% (Highland and South Lanarkshire respectively) while the range for the second measure is 52.64%-99.66% (Moray and North Ayrshire respectively).

Contextual information:

The suitability of operational accommodation is measured through the use of questionnaires. Surveys were issued to all occupiers, as they are best placed to advise on the suitability of the property for their Council Service. The questionnaires are broken down into sections which analyse a number of factors and Council Services are asked to grade each question. All properties receiving an overall 'A' or 'B' rating are considered suitable; those with a 'C' or 'D' rating are not. Once all questionnaires are returned from service users, the appropriate overall percentage of properties suitable for use is calculated. New questionnaires are issued every five years, or earlier if there has been a significant change to the property or if the service user changes. The questionnaires were compiled following discussion with other Scottish Councils therefore all returns should be on roughly the same basis. Results are benchmarked at the Association of Chief Estates Surveyors' meetings.

Condition surveys on our main properties were carried out in 2008/09. The surveys were broken down into the 11 elements required by Audit Scotland. The surveys and the identified necessary repairs were analysed and each building was given a rating. In the following years, all improvement and repair works to individual buildings were noted and the grading against each element of every improved building changed accordingly; this had the potential to affect the overall score, depending on the type of work carried out. The requirement for condition surveys is that they should be undertaken every five years. New surveys were therefore carried out in 2013/14 by external consultants Watts Limited. Watts' report provided a grading for each property and also included a spreadsheet which detailed all required works, broken down into a traffic light system. Surveys for our smaller properties were carried out by the Council's building surveyors, following the same criteria as Watts. Internal floor areas had already been measured for a number of previous survey reports and these were used to calculate the appropriate percentages for this indicator.

In 2011/12, two new secondary schools were finished which helped to improve performance in relation to these indicators. Further improvements were achieved in 2012/13 as other properties undergoing refurbishment were completed, such as Whinhill and St Andrew's Primary Schools, Binnie Street Nursery, Gourock Pool and Ravenscraig Stadium. In December 2013, a major new community campus was opened, replacing one secondary and two additional support needs schools, with a fully refurbished secondary school and a fully refurbished additional support needs school. Other completed school estate projects have included the completion of the new St Patrick's and St Ninian's Primary Schools, the refurbished Moorfoot Primary School and the new Glenpark Early Learning Centre. Further office improvements have included the complete refurbishment of the James Watt building and the office annexe at Drummer's Close.

Obviously, being property, changes cannot be made instantly and there is a knock-on effect to Council Services which may have to remain in unsuitable properties while waiting for new premises to be completed. The Council is currently progressing its Office and Depot Rationalisation Programme. The Programme has two objectives, the first is to introduce more modern ways of working, including flexible working, home working and electronic document storage which will reduce the requirement for desks and space; the second is to rationalise and refurbish the office and depot accommodation portfolio resulting in a smaller estate which is in good condition and fit for purpose. As a result, the Council will be able to dispose of unsuitable and uneconomical properties. This is an on-going process as the Council strives to make savings in property costs.

Next steps:

This is a priority area for the Council as we want to ensure that we deliver services to the public from buildings which are fit for purpose. Further improvements are planned through the Office and Depot Rationalisation Programme and the School Estate Strategy. Progress on these is reported to committee on a regular basis.

To view the Council's Corporate Asset Management Strategy 2016/18, visit Corporate Asset Management Strategy 2016/18.

Economic development and planning

		na	Change in position in the national rankings 2016/17-2017/18		
ECON 1	% of Unemployed people assisted into work from Council operated/funded employability programmes	•	red – declined		
ECON 2	Cost per planning application	•	red – declined		
ECON 3	Average time taken (in weeks) to deliver a business or industry planning application decision	•	red – declined		
ECON 4	New description: % of Procurement spend spent on local enterprises	•	red – declined		
20011 4	New description. 70 of Froduction apend spent of rocal enterprises	•	red – declined		
ECON 5	Number of Business Gateway start-ups per 10,000 population				
ECON 6	New indicator: Cost of economic development and tourism per 1,000 population	•	green – improved		
		•	red – declined		
ECON 7	New indicator: % of People earning less than the Living Wage				
		•	green – improved		
ECON 8	New indicator: % of Properties receiving superfast broadband				

		•	green – improved
ECON 9	New indicator: Town centre vacancy rates		
ECON 10	New indicator: Immediately available employment land as a % of total land allocated for employment purposes (in the Local Development Plan)		green – improved

Economic development:
10 indicators

1st quartile
2nd quartile
2
2
3rd quartile
2
3
3

There are two indicators regarding employment that should be considered together:

ECON 1: % of Unemployed people assisted into work from Council operated/funded employability programmes

ECON 7: % of People earning less than the Living Wage

ECON 1: % of Un	ECON 1: % of Unemployed people assisted into work from Council operated/funded employability programmes										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
21.00	8th	14.4	1st	↓ one place from 7th	16.95	19.23	25.18				

ECON 7: % of People earning less than the Living Wage										
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
23.8	23rd	18.4	3rd	↓ 8 places from 15th	22.4	24.1	21.7			

What the data tells us:

The performance data for 2017/18 shows there was an increase (of 4.05%) in the number of unemployed people who were assisted into work from Inverclyde Council operated/funded employability programmes. This means that, although we dropped one place in the national rankings,

we retained our position in the first quartile. Additionally, our performance is 6.6% above the national average. The range for this indicator is 2.15%-29.9% (Argyll and Bute and Renfrewshire respectively).

While the second measure was introduced to the Framework for 2017/18, historical information is also available from 2014/15 onwards. The indicator allows the impact of interventions around addressing low pay to be monitored. The percentage of people in Inverclyde earning less than the Living Wage increased (by 1.4%) between 2016/17 and 2017/18. This resulted in our position in the national rankings falling by eight places to 23rd which places us in the third quartile for this measure. The range for this indicator is 13.8%%-31.2% (Midlothian and Angus respectively).

Contextual information:

Assisting unemployed people into work is a priority improvement area for the Council. It should be noted that Inverciyde started from a lower base with a less well-developed business base and thereby fewer employment opportunities than many other areas. This makes the positive comparative impact that has been achieved significant. Additionally, the range of programmes which underpin this indicator are delivered through the third sector potentially resulting in a more streamlined delivery method through engaging with third sector organisations. The majority of Inverciyde jobs created via Council operated/funded employability programmes are in the construction sector and arise from community benefits activity.

Local providers and Council-funded provision have made very significant inroads in reducing short term and youth unemployment, both of which are recording historically low rates. Accordingly, the targeting of services and client engagement is increasingly geared towards longer term unemployed Benefit claimants with more complex support requirements. Inverclyde has incorporated support for people with disabilities, learning disabilities, autism, addictions, care experienced and those on long term Incapacity Benefit, sometimes with an average Benefit dependency of over twenty years. The effort and time taken to support this client group into sustained employment is greater and requires more resource, therefore, it is to be expected that the progressions rate will be reduced and further complicated when national programmes through the DWP and Skills Development Scotland have also been reduced.

Inverciyde has a lower density of jobs than other areas. However, in the last few years there were some redundancies which, in a smaller authority like Inverciyde, have a skewered effect. For example, the redundancy and closure of the former Playtex/DB Apparel site increased the number of people unemployed and closed an employer where we had been successful in getting clients into jobs; also, the people being made redundant had, in many cases, been there for many years, therefore, the retraining required to secure jobs in another industry takes longer. Other redundancies during this period included IBM, the first tranche at Texas Instruments, the retail sector and some reduction in the service sector. Additionally, there has been a reduction in the public sector, specifically in reduced vacancies that clients can access. Despite the circumstances, local provision has continued to diversify in engaging employers and targeting areas of growth, such as contact centres and apprentices in engineering.

The Inverciyde labour market remains challenging. Outcome rates are subject to fluctuation and Inverciyde may have improved figures in future. However, it is worth noting that the Inverciyde employability service remains the 6th most successful local Council funded and delivered provision, despite the fact that we operate in an area which, in spite of significant efforts, still does not have the jobs density of other parts of the country. Put simply, that means we have a lower number of jobs than our neighbouring local authorities yet we still manage to get proportionately more local residents into work than those Council areas. Of equal note is the fact that, in every period of the last year, the average wage in Inverciyde has at last been on a par with the Scottish average which provides a measure about the quality of the jobs.

Inverclyde Council has continued to make significant investment in employability services, with resources identified for end-to-end employability, together with an additional resource for specialist activity. The Local Outcomes Improvement Plan 2017/22 recognises that increasing the number of well-paid jobs that are available and ensuring that people can access appropriate training to help them take up these opportunities is vital to tackle the high levels of unemployment and worklessness in Inverclyde.

Benchmarking takes place against the national indicators and through the work of the Strategic Employability Group.

Inclusive growth is a central part of the Government's economic strategy and the Council is an important partner in the drive to reduce income inequality. Economic development services play an important role in this through supporting people to develop the skills to progress in the labour marking, by attracting higher value employment opportunities and encouraging employers to pay the Living Wage.

Next steps:

Continuous improvement is always sought. Economic Regeneration seeks to deliver continuous improvement, to identify gaps in provision and improve effectiveness, for example, in harnessing good practice from other areas.

There are a two planning indicators that should be considered together:

ECON 2: Cost per planning application

ECON 3: Average time taken (in weeks) to deliver a business and industry planning application decision

CON 2: Cost per planning application									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
7,280.00	27th	4,819.00	4th	↓ 21 places from 6th	3,673.00	8,615.00	9,344.00		

ECON 3: Average time taken (in weeks) to deliver a business and industry planning application decision										
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15			
2017/18			authority	2016/17-2017/18						
			quartile							
8.42	14th	9.34	2nd	↓ 13 places	6.48	-	-			
				from 1st						

What the data tells us:

The performance data for 2017/18 shows there was an increase (of £3,607.00) in the cost per planning application in Inverciyde. This resulted in a decrease of 21 places in the national rankings, which means we move from the first quartile to the fourth one. The range for this indicator is £2,536.00-£10,801.00 (East Lothian and Renfrewshire respectively).

In 2017/18, the average time per business and industry planning application in Inverclyde was 8.42 weeks, an increase of 1.94 weeks, this places us 14th in Scotland, a decrease of 13 places from the previous reporting year. However, we are comfortably below the national average for this measure of 9.34 weeks. The range for this indicator is 5.71 weeks-16.61 weeks (North Ayrshire and Dumfries and Galloway respectively).

Contextual information:

The two planning indicators were introduced to the Framework in 2015/16 with the aim of strengthening coverage of this area of local government. Although spend on planning accounts for a relatively small amount of overall spend, it is a strategically important area in terms of the future development use of land in Inverclyde. An efficient and well-functioning planning service plays an important role in facilitating sustainable economic growth and delivering high quality development in the right places.

Next steps:

For information on the Council's Planning Service, planning process, building standards, listed buildings and more, visit Planning, Building Standards and Property.

ECON 4: % of Procurement spend spent on local enterprises

ECON 4: % of Pr	ECON 4: % of Procurement spend spent on local enterprises										
Inverclyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15				
2017/18			authority	2016/17-2017/18							
			quartile								
28.54	13th	27.40	2nd	↓ one place	30.58	37.33	33.96				
				from 12th							

What the data tells us:

The data for 2017/18 shows there was a decrease of 2.04% in the amount of procurement spent on local enterprises. The range for this indicator is 9.52%-54.17% (East Renfrewshire and Shetland Islands respectively).

Contextual information:

Procurement spend in local government accounts for a significant proportion of total spend. Procurement legislation places obligations on public purchasing bodies to tender for contracts openly and transparently but also puts procurement at the heart of national economic recovery. This is an important indicator which demonstrates the value of opportunity for local suppliers and the opportunity for Councils to provide business development services to these organisations in order to deliver on their standing commitment to invest in their local economies and create employment.

Next steps:

To find out more about our procurement practices and for information on how to do business with the Council, visit $^{\circ}$ Procurement.

ECON 5: Number of Business Gateway start-ups per 10,000 population

ECON 5: Number of Business Gateway start-ups per 10,000 population									
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15		
11.17	29th	16.83	4th	↓ 3 places from 26th	12.76	19.25	18.9		

What the data tells us:

The number of Business Gateway start-ups per 10,000 population declined by 1.59% between 2016/17 and 2017/18. Our ranking subsequently decreased by three places to 29th which means we remain in the fourth quartile. The range for this indicator is 6.01-26.47 (Glasgow City and Aberdeenshire respectively).

Contextual information:

This high level indicator is important because new business formation is a good indicator of how conducive we are to entrepreneurship in the business environment. Small businesses are the lifeblood of local town centres and communities. A fundamental aim of local government is to improve the business creation and growth of small businesses in their areas. The provision of good quality support and assistance remains crucial to increasing new business formation and the sustainable growth of enterprises.

Next steps:

For business support and advice, visit Business support and advice and to find out how the Council works in partnership with a number of other agencies to deliver support services to businesses, visit Business development.

ECON 6: Cost of economic development and tourism per 1,000 population

ECON 6	ECON 6: Cost of economic development and tourism per 1,000 population							
Inver	clyde	Ranking	Scotland	Local	Change in rank	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
201	7/18			authority	2016/17-2017/18			
				quartile				
85,5	51.00	21st	91,806.00	3rd	↑ 3 places	100,984.00	151,414.00	129,575.00
					from 24th			

What the data tells us:

While this measure was introduced to the Framework for 2017/18, historical information is also available from 2014/15 onwards. Our economic development and tourism costs per 1,000 population fell by £15,433.00 between 2016/17 and 2017/18. This resulted in an improved position in the national rankings, rising from 24th to 21st, which places us in the third quartile for this measure. The range for this indicator is £24,338.00-£551,316.00 (Angus and Aberdeen City respectively).

Contextual information:

This indicator provides a measure of the Council's expenditure on the delivery of our economic development service, both in terms of capital projects and revenue costs.

Next steps:

ECON 10: Immediately available employment land as a % of total land allocated for employment purposes (in the Local Development Plan)

Plan)								
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15	
85	3rd	40.78	1st	↑ 2 places from 5th	85	60.61	60.72	

What the data tells us:

While this measure was introduced to the Framework for 2017/18, historical information is also available from 2014/15 onwards. The amount of immediately available employment land remained the same (at 85%) between 2016/17 and 2017/18. However, our position in the national rankings improved by two places to third which means we retain our position in the first quartile for this measure. The range for this indicator is 1.14%-92.77% (Dumfries and Galloway and East Renfrewshire respectively).

Contextual information:

The availability of land for development is a significant factor that affects local economic growth and it falls within the Council's local development planning powers to influence this. *Immediately available land* is land which is serviced and marketed, as opposed to simply being designated for employment use.

Next steps:

The Local Development Plan (LDP) sets out the Council's strategy, policies and proposals for the use of land and buildings in Inverclyde. Together with the Clydeplan Strategic Development Plan, the LDP is used by the Council to determine planning applications and provide advice on development proposals. To find out more about the development planning, visit be Development Planning.

The Council is currently preparing a new LDP which is due to be adopted in August 2019; for more information, visit $^{\circ}$ New LDP.

ECON 9: Town centre vacancy rates

ECON 9: Town centre vacancy rates							
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
20.78	26th	11.49	4th	↑ one place from 27th	20.78	12.61	23.62

What the data tells us:

While this measure was introduced to the Framework for 2017/18, historical information is also available from 2014/15 onwards. Our town centre vacancy rate was unchanged (at 20.78) between 2016/17 and 2017/18. However, our position in the national rankings improved by one place. The range for this indicator is 3.96%-20.78% (East Ayrshire and Invercive respectively).

Contextual information:

The vibrancy of town centres is a strategic priority for economic development and planning services. An important measure of the extent to which town centre management/regeneration policies and initiatives are working is the level of vacant units in town centres.

Next steps:

ECON 8: % of Properties receiving superfast broadband

ECON 8: % of Properties receiving superfast broadband							
Inverclyde 2017/18	Ranking	Scotland	Local authority quartile	Change in rank 2016/17-2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
95.47	7th	91.13	1st	↓ 3 places from 4th	94	87	79

What the data tells us:

While this measure was introduced to the Framework for 2017/18, historical information is also available from 2014/15 onwards. While our proportion of premises receiving superfast broadband increased (by 1.47%), our position in the national rankings declined by three places. However, we are still placed in the first quartile for this measure. The range for this indicator is 66.34%-98.07% (Orkney Islands and Dundee City respectively).

Contextual information:

Access to good digital infrastructure is a key driver of economic competitiveness and productivity. The Council has a role, alongside telecom companies, in facilitating and enabling the development of effective digital infrastructure. This indicator measures the impact of that work. The required digital infrastructure speed to have superfast broadband is 30Mbit/s.

Next steps: